WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	V.			
Edua	rdo David Melchor-Quijada	Case Number:	15-9122MJ	
was present	ce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 and represented by counsel. I conclude by a er the detention of the defendant pending tria	preponderance of the evidence		
I find by a pr	FIN eponderance of the evidence that:	IDINGS OF FACT		
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.			
×	The defendant, at the time of the charged	time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces re Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the	fendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs h/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.		
	The defendant has no significant contact	ne defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	The defendant is facing a maximum of _	years imp	risonment.	
The Court at the	Court incorporates by reference the material time of the hearing in this matter, except as r	findings of the Pretrial Services and the record.	Agency which were reviewed by the	
	CONG	CLUSIONS OF LAW		
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendant No condition or combination of conditions		earance of the defendant as required.	
	DIRECTIONS	REGARDING DETENTION		
in a correction pending appropriate of a confacility shall of	defendant is committed to the custody of the ons facility separate, to the extent practicable eal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasourt of the United States or on request of an adeliver the defendant to the United States Ma	, from persons awaiting or serving mable opportunity for private con ttorney for the Government, the parshal for the purpose of an appe	g sentences or being held in custody sultation with defense counsel. On person in charge of the corrections	
proceeding.		ID THIRD PARTY RELEASE		
to deliver a c District Cour from the date	S ORDERED that should an appeal of this decopy of the motion for review/reconsideration t. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., ea of service of a copy of this order or after the ith the district court. Failure to timely file object.	to Pretrial Services at least one of iffective December 1, 2009, Defe oral order is stated on the recor-	day prior to the hearing set before the ndant shall have fourteen (14) days d within which to file specific written	
Pretrial Serv	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a ices sufficiently in advance of the hearing bed investigate the potential third party custodial	fore the District Court to allow Pre		
DATE: March 30, 2015 ESWILLEY			Swillest	
		United	Eileen S. Willett d States Magistrate Judge	